UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,041	07/03/2006	Atsushi Miyawaki	P28993	3770
	7590 12/11/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.0	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE	-	BRADLEY, CHRISTINA	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/561,041	MIYAWAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CHRISTINA BRADLEY	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Second</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all all all all all all all all all al	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P	ite			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/561,041

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of the species SEQ ID NO: 5 in the response filed 09/17/2009 is acknowledged. Upon further consideration, the election of species requirement mailed 08/18/2009 is vacated and a new requirement for restriction is issued.

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 7, 13-15, 26-30, 32 and 34, drawn to a fluorescent protein from Montipora sp. with excitation maximum wavelength of 507 nm and fluorescence maximum wavelength of 517 nm

Group II, claim(s) 2 and 8, drawn to a fluorescent protein derived from Acropora sp. with excitation maximum wavelength of 505 nm and fluorescence maximum wavelength of 516 nm.

Group III, claim(s) 16 and 17, drawn to DNA encoding a fluorescent protein derived from Acropora sp. with excitation maximum wavelength of 505 nm and fluorescence maximum wavelength of 516 nm.

Group IV, claim(s) 3 and 9, drawn to a fluorescent protein derived from Acropora sp. with excitation maximum wavelength of 472 nm and fluorescence maximum wavelength of 496 nm.

Group V, claim(s) 18 and 19, drawn to DNA encoding a fluorescent protein derived from Acropora sp. with excitation maximum wavelength of 472 nm and fluorescence maximum wavelength of 496 nm.

Group VI, claim(s) 4, 10, 20 and 21, drawn to a fluorescent protein derived from Montipora sp. with excitation maximum wavelength of 557 nm and fluorescence maximum wavelength of 574 nm.

Group VII, claim(s) 5, 11, 22, 23, 31, 33 and 35, drawn to a fluorescent protein derived from Actinia equina with absorption maximum wavelength of 592 nm.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,041

Art Unit: 1654

Group VIII, claim(s) 6, 12, 24 and 25, drawn to a fluorescent protein derived from Lobophytum crassum with excitation maximum wavelength of 482 nm and fluorescence maximum wavelength of 498 nm.

Page 3

- 3. The inventions listed as Groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons. Groups I and VI pertain to fluorescent proteins derived from Montipora sp., Groups II-V pertain to fluorescent proteins derived from Acropora sp., Group VII pertains to fluorescent proteins derived from Actinia equina and Group VIII pertain to fluorescent proteins derived from Lobophytum crassum. Montipora, Acropora, Actinia and Lobophytum are different genus of organisms which express fluorescent proteins. Fluorescent proteins derived from naturally-occurring organisms are known in the prior art (see for example Papina et al. "Separation of highly fluorescent proteins by SDS-PAGE in Acroporidae corals," Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B, 2002, 131, 767-774, cited by Applicant, and Matz et al. US 7,160,698). Therefore, unity of invention is lacking a posteriori. With respect to Groups I and VI, the fluorescent proteins in these groups have different spectral properties and therefore must have different chemical structures, which breaks unity of invention a priori. With respect to Groups II-V, Papina et al. teach a fluorescent protein derived from Acropora sp. with an excitation maximum of 505 nm and a fluorescence maximum at 517 nm (see Table 1). Matz et al. teach fluorescent proteins derived from Acropora sp. that share a high degree of homology with instantly claimed SEQ ID NO: 5. SEQ ID NOs: 56, 58, 63, 47, 55, 54, 53, 57, 62, 61, 48, 49 and 46 of Matz et al. are 90.5, 90.3, 88.7, 88.4, 88.1, 87, 86.8, 85.8, 85.5, 85.5, 85.5, 80, 79.5 and 79.2 % identical to SEQ ID NO: 5. Matz et al. also teach DNA and vectors that encode these fluorescent proteins derived from Acropora sp. Therefore, unity of invention for Groups II-V is lacking *a posteriori*.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1654

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTINA BRADLEY whose telephone number is (571)272-9044. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:30 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christina Marchetti Bradley/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654